



AUGUST 20, 2023

AN INTERESTING TAKE ON How To Simulate A Scientist 101

A JACA ENGINE

Patent Pending, August 1, 2022

Adrian & Cristina Nania

To Simulate, Or Not To Simulate

I had too many interactions with people demanding simulations: yes, you blabbermouth, you're flapping your gums, but where is the meat, where is the simulation? I am also using simulation tools with great efficiency in my daily work, and I do not dismiss the critical need and the benefits of simulation tools. I would not waste years to complete tasks of extreme complexity by chiselling up at stone-age tablets; I would dress up for the occasion and I would put on display some of that modern, slick looking hair product. And yet, there is a very dark side of using simulation tools. Here is the rub.

Benefits Of Worshiping

Worshiping at the altar of a simulated God would give you that adrenaline boost and that supreme confidence to knock the bejeebers out of any disrespectful heretic: how dare you doubt my Holly Skills! After all, how would a simpleton like you dare to doubt a simulated God and by inference, God forbids, doubt my Supreme Truth: here is the simulation! You must obey, kneel, and kiss my Holly Clubber, or you get smacked over the head with it. You're allowed lab play time after you finish your simulation.

Common Sense Heretic

I am that disrespectful heretic. A simulation tool is not supposed to replace your own God given common sense as a substitute for legwork and gray matter, the opposite is true: when garbage in, then garbage out. If all that you learned, after squeaking out as a college graduate, is to frantically beat down on a keyboard and you're already planning to waste your whole life as a clueless CAD scientist, then everything around you looks like a nail. Sooner or later, your fake simulated God will turn back on you and it will bite off your empty head.

Legendary Messed Up Lazy Brain Baby Class

In all fairness now, there is also the very bright upside of the simulation business. You can get to the riches of the world by just parading your unquestionable simulation skills in blind obedience to your interests and the interest of your handlers, quickly settling the science out of the usual target field practice: what are you, dumb? Are you questioning the science? And BTW, how would you like to lick some of that pie grease dripping off your own sticky fingers and become part of that legendary messed up lazy brain baby class? You know, the simulation "scientists" sucking up at the taxpayer udders, leaving us with dried-up Montgomeries.

How To Simulate A Scientist 101

6 Reasons Why Most Scientific Research is Fake, False or Fraudulent:

<https://nofakenews.net/2016/04/21/6-reasons-why-most-scientific-research-is-fake-false-or-fraudulent/>

“In the second paragraph I declare without the slightest evidence or argument, that "physical 'reality' (note the scare quotes) [...] is at bottom a social and linguistic construct." Not our theories of physical reality, mind you, but the reality itself. Fair enough. Anyone who believes that the laws of physics are mere social conventions is invited to try transgressing those conventions from the windows of my apartment. I live on the twenty-first floor.[12]”

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sokal_affair

Simulated Science, NASA?

I am not going to beat up the putrefactive dead horse body of usual easy targets from the field of lost wars of modern settled scientific subjects. Our public square of political, filthy, deadly talk traps with high financial benefits would eliminate my squealing voice before even starting it. But, I can talk about a whopper of a simulation mistake. NASA is way too kind to take any offense here, and besides, it is a one-sided "symbiotic" relation, my being the one footing the bill in the current arrangement.

Nah, I am trying too hard to be cute here, NASA is the best of the best. But, once in a blue Titan moon, even NASA gets it wrong. And, I am quite positive, it is again the darn simulation tool at work, substituting for common sense: Saturn's Moon Titan Is Drifting Off Into Space, NASA Says. It's escaping 100 times faster than NASA previously believed:

<https://futurism.com/the-byte/saturn-moon-titan-drifting-away>

How Could You, NASA?

How can that be, how could NASA get the numbers SO WRONG? Something smells in here like another stalled brain fart of dark matter and dark energy stench. Is it there a reasonable explanation, could it be just a common misinterpretation of basic laws of physics?

As you already know, when something is missing from the simulation input data: when garbage in, then garbage out. The most probable reason for errors in this field is the one parameter that it is not taken into account, usually discarded with disgust: oscillations in gravity fields; aka acceleration, aka gravity assist, aka centrifugal force, aka folded acceleration. You mean, the accelerated rate of expansion of our universe could also be explained the same way? Maybe:

<http://www.daddyzaur.com/?p=535>